Role of Intra-operative Cytology in the Diagnosis of Ovarian Neoplasms

Renu Jain1, Vibhor Jain2, Shyomali Dutta3, Seema Awasthi4, Sanjeev Kumar Jain5

1Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College and Research Centre, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, 2Consultant, National Program Officer HBS, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, 3Professor & Head, Department of Pathology, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College and Research Centre, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, 4Professor, Department of Pathology, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College and Research Centre, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, 5Professor, Department of Anatomy, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College and Research Centre, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

Background: Intraoperative cytology (IOC) has been widely used nowadays for establishing an early diagnosis of ovarian neoplasms. It is a simple, inexpensive and a rapid diagnostic test which can be performed in a short duration of time. We organized a study to compare the diagnostic utility of IOC with the histopathological examination (gold standard technique) in ovarian benign and malignant neoplasms.

Materials and Methods: We conducted a prospective study on 68 suspected ovarian neoplasms and samples were collected using touch, imprint, scrape or crush technique. The samples were fixed by 95% ethyl alcohol and stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain. An experienced cytopathologist diagnosed and interpreted the cytology slides of ovarian neoplasm and correlated the histopathological diagnosis with cytological interpretation. Based on the data the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and diagnostic accuracy were determined.

Results: Among the 68 cases, the standard histopathological diagnosis confirmed 24 as benign, 6 as borderline and 38 as malignant lesions. The diagnostic concordance between cytological and histopathological study was observed in 65 of the 68 cases. We observed a satisfactory diagnostic accuracy of the intraoperative imprint cytology (95.60%) in our study. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and diagnostic accuracy were 97.7, 91.37, 95.56, 95.65, and 95.60% respectively in our study.

Conclusion: The diagnostic utility of cytological examination for ovarian masses is really appreciable on comparison with the histological diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Ovarian tumors are classified on the basis of their microscopic findings. The macroscopic features of the different ovarian cancers possess very much similarity.1 Therefore, histopathologic findings helps in not only making a definitive diagnosis of the ovarian neoplasms but also helps in proper planning and management of the tumors.

Intraoperative cytology (IOC) is performed by taking imprint smears from the cancerous tissue and establishing an early diagnosis at the operation site.2 In the past, frozen section was used traditionally but now imprint cytology has been proved to be an acceptable and easily reproducible technique as it is performed on other body organs also.3-7 IOC is easy to perform, inexpensive, less tissue traumatic, and preservation of cell details.8 IOC has also helped surgeons to establish the diagnosis at the operating site and after examining the cellular details of the tumor, they can plan proper marginal resection accordingly.

The diagnosis of ovarian neoplasms by Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) is difficult to establish as the ovaries are a deep, structured organ and it causes difficulty for the needle to access the tumor site.9 However, the
imaging technique is required to make the pre-operative diagnosis by FNAC of ovarian tumours. Moreover, excessive needling of the neoplastic tissue leads to spilling and seeding of the cancerous cells to the peritoneum. But, IOC enables a prompt diagnosis without the fear of tumor dissemination.

The diagnosis of ovarian tumors by histopathological examination has been established as the gold standard technique. Based on the above advantageous role of IOC and limited literature availability of this technique for establishing the diagnosis of ovarian tumors, we planned to formulate a study comparing the diagnostic correlation of ovarian tumors between imprint cytology and histopathology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted a prospective study on 68 suspected ovarian neoplasms in the Department of Pathology, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College and Research Centre, Moradabad, India, from January 2014 to December 2014. We included all the samples for our study which are solid/cystic-solid in consistency. Preoperatively, samples were collected using touch, imprint, scrape or crush technique. We employed touch and imprint technique most common whereby, the glass slide was touched with various representative areas. The samples from lesions of somewhat harder consistency were taken by scrape method. While performing scrape method, the tissue was scraped by the edge of one slide and smeared on another slide. The friable lesions were crushed and smeared gently between the two slides. The samples were fixed by 95% ethyl alcohol and stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain. Mucin was demonstrated by using Periodic-acid Schiff’s or Alcian Blue stain. An experienced cytopathologist diagnosed and interpreted the cytology slides of ovarian neoplasm under the heads of types, pattern, and morphology of cells.

Since, histopathological diagnosis is considered as a gold standard of diagnosing the type of ovarian neoplasm, so we correlated the histopathological diagnosis with cytological interpretation.

Statistical Analysis

The benign tumors were taken as negative control and malignant/borderline tumors as a positive control. True positives were cytology/histology positive tumors; true negatives were cytology/histology negative tumors; false positives histology negative and cytology positives; false negative was histology positive and cytology negative cases. The cases where pathologist felt difficulty in establishing a diagnosis were labeled as inconclusive. Statistical analysis of data was performed by using SPSS version 20 software. Based on above parameters, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and diagnostic accuracy were determined together with 95% confidence interval (CI).

RESULTS

We successfully enrolled 68 cases, in our study. The cellularity and morphology of the cell structures were found to be better preserved with imprint/scrape smears. The age of the patients varied between 12 and 64 years, the mean age being 44 years. Among the 68 cases, the standard histopathological diagnosis confirmed 24 as benign, 6 as borderline and 38 as malignant lesions (Figures 1 and 2). Table 1 reveals the diagnostic concordance between cytological and histopathological study in 65 of the 68 cases. We observed prompt results by using hematoxylin and eosin staining technique. The better cytological and nuclear details were observed within 2 min.

Figure 1: Benign ovarian tumors

Figure 2: Malignant ovarian tumors
Table 1: Cyto‑histopathological correlation of ovarian neoplasms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytological diagnosis</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Histopathological diagnosis</th>
<th>Correlation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papillary cystadenoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Papillary cystadenoma</td>
<td>2/2 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrothecoma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fibrothecoma</td>
<td>5/5 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystadenocarcinoma</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Cystadenocarcinoma</td>
<td>11/11 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrioid Carcinoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Endometrioid Carcinoma</td>
<td>3/3 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline mucinous tumor (5) mucinous cystadenoma (1) [FN]*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Borderline mucinous tumor</td>
<td>5/6 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous carcinoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mucinous carcinoma</td>
<td>1/1 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous Cystadenoma (5) borderline mucinous tumour (2) [FP]*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mucinous cystadenoma</td>
<td>5/7 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Metastatic adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>4/4 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysgerminoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dysgerminoma</td>
<td>3/3 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulosa cell tumor</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Granulosa cell tumor</td>
<td>9/9 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature teratoma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mature teratoma</td>
<td>6/6 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary serous carcinoma</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Papillary serous carcinoma</td>
<td>7/7 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus luteal cyst</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Corpus luteal cyst</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Struma ovarii</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Struma ovarii</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>65/68 (95.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FN: False negative, *FP: False positive

Of all the 68 cases observed, 24 were non‑neoplastic lesions. Out of these 24 benign tumors, we observed most of the cases (7) of mucinous cystadenoma on histological examination. On cytological examination, two cases were misdiagnosed as a borderline mucinous tumor. Our findings were supported by Shahid et al. who also found one false positive case while examining mucinous cystadenoma. This result was found, as we observed very minimal nuclear atypia with increased nuclear: cytoplasmic ratio. One case of Borderline mucinous tumor was misdiagnosed as mucinous cystadenoma on cytological examination. However, we were able to interpret mucinous carcinoma on both cytological and histopathological examination accurately.

In our study, we were able to diagnose papillary benign and malignant tumors accurately on both cytological and histopathological examinations. Our study was also supported by Vijayakumar who demonstrated 100% correlation between cyto‑/histological findings of papillary cystadenoma and papillary cystadenocarcinoma.

On examining the germ cell tumors, 9 cases of granulosa cell tumors, 3 cases of dysgerminoma and 6 cases of mature teratoma was accurately diagnosed by cytological examination. Our findings were supported by Shahid et al. and Khunamornpong and Siriaunkgul who also found 100% diagnostic accuracy of cytological examination in detecting germ cell tumors. In our study, endometrioid carcinoma was observed to be cytologically similar to serous tumours but we had successfully correlated our three cases of endometrioid carcinoma.

The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and diagnostic accuracy were 97.7, 91.37, 95.56, 95.65 and 95.60%, respectively in our study. Shahid et al. in their cytological and histological ovarian tumours correlated study observed sensitivity, specificity and...
diagnostic accuracy of 95.8, 96.0 and 95.8% respectively. However, they did not observe the positive and negative predictive values in their study. They also observed 2% of the results as inconclusive, however, no inconclusive results were observed in our cases. In a study conducted by Nagai et al.16 between malignant/borderline/benign ovarian tumours they observed the sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy as 89.5, 90.3 and 83.6% respectively. Ganjei10 compared the benign and malignant ovarian cysts by aspiration cytology and observed the sensitivity (75%), specificity (100%) and diagnostic overall accuracy (96%) in their study: Kjellgren et al.17 observed a sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy of 90, 85, and 93-95% in their study on ovarian tumours by fine needle aspiration biopsy.

The frozen section had been considered as a gold standard for establishing the diagnosis of ovarian neoplasms. One of our limitations in our study is that we should have compared frozen section with IOC smears. But a recent meta-analysis of 18 studies compared the sensitivity and specificity of ovarian neoplasms between frozen section and histopathology and observed similar observations as ours.18 Other limitation of IOC is that it is difficult to make a proper diagnosis of ovarian tumours with borderline malignant potential and in such cases we advocate that the diagnosis should be relied upon the histopathological findings.

CONCLUSION

From our study, we conclude that the diagnostic accuracy of cytological examination of ovarian masses is really appreciable. Moreover, in a developing country like ours where the facility of frozen section techniques is not available, cytological examination plays a key role in making an early and accurate diagnosis. IOC is a simple, rapid, inexpensive, and easy to perform the procedure and thus helpful in making an early preliminary diagnosis of ovarian tumors thereby helpful in the timely start of patient treatment.
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