

Viewpoints of Farhangian University Students on the Correspondence Rate of Science Education Curriculum with Their Needs

Fariba Mohseni Moghadam¹, Mohammad Javad Liaghatdar², Taghi Agha Hosseini³

¹ph.D student in curriculum, Educational Sciences Department, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran, ²Associate Professor, Educational Sciences Department, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran, ³Assistant Professor, Farhangian University of Isfahan, Iran

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to determine the attitudes of Farhangian university students on the correspondence rate of the current curriculum of science education with their scientific, professional and emotional needs. In this cross-sectional study, a sample of 307 university students from the three Iranian universities (Tehran, Isfahan, and Kerman) was randomly selected through stratified random sampling method. Data were collected by using a research-made questionnaire, with 96 components categorized in three areas of main dimensions in curriculum standards. The validity of the questionnaire was determined by curriculum studies scholars, and the reliability was confirmed as the Cronbach's alpha calculated, and by using of factor analysis with Amos Software (8/8), too. Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics according to the variables. Most of the students believed that the correspondence rate of the current curriculum in science education with scientific, professional, and emotional needs is less than average level. Thus the overall result of this study showed that revision is needed in curriculum planning. In other words, the correspondence rate among the university student needs and current curriculum is not optimal.

Key words: Curriculum, Farhangian University students viewpoints, Science education.

INTRODUCTION

Colleges in many countries are attempting to develop and progress their curricula. Curriculum is one of the core elements of higher education that has a crucial and undeniable role toward universities' goals and missions. Teachers who have proper training are better able to use teaching strategies that respond to students' needs (Lew, 2013). Up to know different studies have dealt with the correspondence rate of the curriculum with university students' needs (Carter, et al, 2015; Probandee, 2014; Chesnut & Cullen, 2014; Amrolah, 2014; Messo & Panhwar, 2013; Lew, 2013; Scheicher, 2012).

A modern education for and through science, centered on competences, implies the promotion of an integrated curriculum that gathers science fundamental scientific contents and abilities, practical skills, attitudes and values (Luminita et al, 2013). Educators continue to emphasize the importance of the development of a wide range of subject-specific and transferable skills during university courses (Costa, et al 2000). Researchers believe that the teachers, in addition to their expertise in the subject and learning-teaching knowledge, need emotional intelligence and if they neglect EI (emotional intelligence) in their teaching, the value of their knowledge in the subject and learning-teaching decreases considerably and consequently, this results in learners' failure (Mortiboys, 2005).

Many studies show a close correlation between student achievement in science and teacher preparation in science. The more science courses teachers had taken in college, the better their students performed (Monk, 1994). Development of empirically verified professional development programs for science teachers can improve the performance of the present generation of teachers and increase their students'

Access this article online



www.ijss-sn.com

Month of Submission : 09-2017
Month of Peer Review : 10-2017
Month of Acceptance : 10-2017
Month of Publishing : 11-2017

Corresponding Author: Fariba Mohseni Moghadam, ph.D student in curriculum, Educational Sciences Department, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran. E-mail: faribamohsenimoghadam@Yahoo.com

interest and achievements in science (Ingersoll, 2003). Too many science classes are taught by teachers who have inadequate preparation in the subject (Spektor- Levy *et.al.*,2008). A science teacher with research skills through the use of scientific method can encourage the students to learn and discover through research (Tessier, 2010). Of the many steps needed to improve science education, none is more important than improving teacher training (Feldon *et.al.*,2011). Despite the enormous growth of science education research during recent decades, its impact on the practice of science education has remained relatively low (Kempa,2002).

A growing number of studies have suggested that teachers' professional development and personal competencies are particularly important for teacher effectiveness (Wenglinisky, 2000). Teacher professional development is not an event, It is a process (Joyce & Schowers, 2002). Professional competence is a combination of knowledge, skills, experience and behaviors, training in a specific context (Gurey, 2007). Training and professional development include imparting knowledge about content and skills in instruction, classroom management, assessment, and developing teacher knowledge and skills (Birman *et.al.*, 2000). To be effective, professional development should be based on curricular and instructional strategies (Joyce and Showers, 2002). Professional development should be designed around research documented practices that enable educators to develop the skill necessary to implement what they are learning (Gurey,2007). According to Gibbs (2002), "Teachers need to be able to survive the demands, threats and challenges within the diverse circumstances of teaching". (Gibbs, 2002). Professional teachers are distinguished by their dedication to the students and to the job of teaching, and feel responsible for the achievement and success of the students and one professional development (Muijs and Reynolds, 2005). Effective teachers need to have good professional and personal skills. They should be trained with a spirit of Inquiry (Feldon and *et.al.*, 2011). Teacher quality and professional development is the most important factor in an education system (Davidson, 2008).

David Caruso (2003) made a list with respect to which professions are highlighted by higher importance of emotional intelligence, on this list, teachers and trainers involved in the field of education are highlighted (curuso,2003). Teaching with EI (emotional intelligence) refers to the teacher's attention paid toward the emotional dimension of teaching- learning in order to increase student's learning (Armour, 2012). The program of Emotional Intelligence Teaching (EIT) provides teacher to increase their awareness of the importance of EI skills and enhance their ability to employ teachers' EI skills in their professional and personal relationships (keser and *et.al.*,2010). Recently

there has also been a growing recognition of the importance of social- emotional competencies to students' learning and academic achievement. However there has been a neglect of emotions in the field of teaching, and little is known about the impact of training aimed at developing teachers' EI on their EI levels and their practice (Freedman & Jensen, 2005; Durlak, Weissbery & Pachan, 2010). A growing number of scholars have also suggested that teachers EI competencies are particularly important for teacher effectiveness (Hassan *et.al.*,2015).

The National Science Education Standards (NSES, which is an important study on science education, remarked that teaching strategies that aim for the children to grow up as science literate individuals should be used in schools by well- trained teachers (Mc Cain,2005). We should produce a system that is more effective in doing three things: getting more talented people to become teachers, developing these teachers into better instructors, and in ensuring that these instructors deliver consistently for every child in the system (Davidson. 2008).

However, Little data has been available to conduct colleges in the key role of curriculum development that is the heart of the educational enterprise, and the correspondence rate the curriculum of science education with the students' needs.

Therefore, With regard to the importance of using scientific, professional, and emotional skills in teaching- training program, this study was designed to determine the attitudes of Farhangian university students on the correspondence rate of the current curriculum of science education with their scientific, professional and emotional needs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was a cross-sectional research that was conducted in 2015. The study population was Farhangian university students in science education courses. Research environment was included three cities (Tehran, Isfahan, and Kerman) in six Farhangianpardiss centers in Iran.

Using a stratified random sampling with proportional allocation and by using Grjsy and Morgan table, 307 people were selected. Engagements in studying in science education courses and willing to participate in this study were considered as the inclusion criteria.

Data were collected using a research -made questionnaire. The questionnaire was developed in four stages:

The First Stage

All texts taken from books, articles, and documents in curriculum development, more related to the teacher training

centers and Farhangian universities were deeply studied. English literature texts were translated. Furthermore, key information interview was done with curriculum experts. Interviews were recorded, typed, and analyzed completely. Experts were chosen because they were completely aware of the stages of curriculum development, and busy doing it. Components, important goals of main documents in Farhangian university curriculum and student needs took place in meaningful sentences, coding, typing, and classification codes, 398 codes were extracted from this stage.

The Second Stage

Faculty members were selected with purposeful sampling with administration, educational experiences in science education courses. The individuals who were willing to cooperate were selected. Semi-structured interviews with content analyses approach were conducted.

Faculty member sampling continued until data saturation achieved. Then all semi-structured interviews were recorded, typed, and analyzed completely. In this process according to the research objective, data collection was done in order to detect needs, curriculum and correspondence between them, and to determine the dimensions and their components. 297 codes were extracted from this stage and the sum of the two parts was extracted 695 codes.

The Third Stage

According to the categorized dimensions from viewpoints of faculty members, experts, and review of the literatures, questionnaire dimensions and components were shaped. The collected data were investigated during several meetings with experts. Some components and items were merged or deleted, and some were changed. Thus the primary tool was developed with 96 components related to three areas of main dimensions in students needs (standards) included: “scientific needs” (components: 1-36), “professional needs” (components: 37-66), and “emotional needs” (components: 67-96). Responses were ranked based on a Likert scale with five scores for current status (very little is implemented = 1 to very much is implemented = 5). The questions were allocated to individual demographic characteristics included: sex, university field of study, city, educational year (term).

The Fourth Stage

The validity of the questionnaire was determined by curriculum studies scholars and the reliability was calculated by using the alpha Cronbach internal consistency, and confirmed by using of factor analysis with Amos software (8/8). For internal consistency 38 of Farhangian university students answered the questionnaires.

The questionnaires were self-administered and completed individually. In order to comply ethical research principles, all subjects completed an informed consent. Data were analyzed by using descriptive and inferential with Spss (statistical package for social sciences). To determine the current status, items' frequency percentages and mean scores were calculated.

RESULTS

Three hundred and seven out of 311 Farhangian university students (98/11) filled the questionnaires. The majority of (53/4) university students were male. A total of 94/5% of university students were in the fifth and sixth terms. Considering the majors, 38/4% of Participants were physics students, 36.2% chemistry students, and 25.4% Biology students.

The descriptive indices of the variables examined in the study can be found in Table 1. As Table 1 shows among the scientific, Professional and emotional needs, emotional need has the highest score (2.54) while the scientific need has the lowest score (2.36).

Based on the Table 1, the observed *t* is significant ($p < 0.05$). Thus most of the students believed that the correspondence rate of the current curriculum of science education with scientific, professional, and emotional needs is dissatisfaction. As it can be seen, the correspondence rate of the curriculum with the students' needs is less than average level.

As Table 2 shows among the components of scientific standards, science conceptualization has the highest score (2/67) while the English proficiency has the lowest score (1/76), in the professional standards, the highest score belongs to dominating basic skills using internship (2/62). While the ability of science production related to real social needs has the lowest score (2/05), and among the components of emotional needs, teaching self-controlling skills has the highest score (2/67) whereas creating optimal relationship has the lowest score (2/10).

As it can be seen in Table 3, observed *F* is significant ($p < 0.05$). Therefore there is difference between the correspondence rate of the current curriculum of science education with the students needs.

Comparison of viewpoints showed that it needed a revision to gain optimal curriculum. University students of science education in three majors (physics, chemistry, and biology) believed that the current curriculum isn't optimal and needs revision.

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to examine Farhangian university student's Perspectives on the correspondence rate of the current curriculum of science education with scientific, professional, and emotional needs. The results showed that there was a significant difference between the current curriculum and the student's need. In other words, the correspondence rate among their needs and current curriculum is less than average level. Therefore it can be inferred that revision is needed in curriculum planning. In curriculum reform, it should be considered all the factors that can bring about teacher's knowledge, professional competencies, and a more positive emotional environment.

The result of several other studies have also confirmed these findings (Carter et.al, 2015; Probandee, 2014; Chesnut & Cullen, 2014; Amrolah, 2014; Messo & Panwar, 2013; Lew, 2013, Luminita, 2013; Schleicher, 2012).

Components of science needs are science conceptualization, unifying the details, updating science education socialization science education, information proficiency and English proficiency. Of the many steps needed to improve science education, none is more important than improving teacher training (Feldon, 2011). The result of this study are in line with the results of the study conducted by Luminita et.al, who were proposed the teacher's training program, which aims to the development of science teacher competencies (Chemistry, Physics and Biology) in strong relation to scientific investigation, valorization of pupils' individual experiences. A modern education for and through science,

centered on competencies, implies the promotion of an integrated curriculum that gathers science fundamental scientific contents and abilities, practical skills, attitudes and values.

Components of professional needs are studied in this research are the ability of planning curriculum objectives, selecting the content, dominating modern approaches, the ability of science production and scientific development, putting effort into technology based professional development & growth, and dominating basic skills using internship.

Researches showed that well-formulated and sustained professional development programs for teachers can significantly improve student achievement in science (McLaughlin et.al 2011).

Teachers need to be critically informed with professional values, knowledge, and actions in order to address changing demands (Hassan et. al, 2015). Teacher professional development is not an event, it is a process (Joyce & Schowers, 2002) professional development cannot succeed without strong content and it should be based on curricular and instructional strategies (Luminita, 2013). Professional competence is a combination of knowledge, skills, experiences and behaviors, training in a specific content (Gurey, 2007). Teachers should be trained with a spirit of inquiry (Feldon and et.al, 2011).

Most of the studied students were dissatisfied with the current curriculum of the science education and there was no significant difference between males and females.

A growing number of studies investigated suggested a need of revision in curriculum developing about emotional intelligence (Yamani, 2014; Zeidner, 2013; Armour, 2012; McLaughlin, 2011; Burden, 2010; Norman, 2002). Researchers believe that the teachers, in addition to their expertise in the subject and learning-teaching knowledge need emotional intelligence and if they

Table 1: Mean, standard deviation of the subjects in research variables from the viewpoint of university students

Variable	Mean±SD	t	Significant
Scientific need	2/36±0/525	-11/64	0/001
Professional need	2/38±0/591	-12/45	0/001
Emotional need	2/54±0/707	-6/73	0/001

Table 2: The highest and lowest mean score and standard deviation of the main standards, from the viewpoint of university students

Main standard	Components	Sub components	Mean±SD
Scientific			
Max	Science conceptualization	Unified the details	2/67±0/813
Min	English proficiency	English Communicating in science	1/76±0/905
Profession			
Max	Professional development	Professional performance improvement using internship	2/62±1/02
Min	Science production	Scientific progress related to real Social needs	2/05±0/914
Emotional max	Teaching self-controlling skills	Fostering self-discipline	2/68±1/00
Min	Creating optimal relationship	Self-assertive in knowledge presenting	2/10±1/02

Table 3: The comparison of Farhangian university student's viewpoints on the correspondence rate of the current curriculum of science education with needs

Subjects	Component	F	P
Physics students	Needs (standards)	6/608	0/002
Chemistry students	Needs(standards)	15/611	0/001
Biology students	Needs(standards)	2/986	0/246

As it can be seen in Table 3, observed F is significant ($p \leq 0.05$). Therefore there is difference between the correspondence rate of the current curriculum of science education with the students needs

neglect EI in their teaching, the value of their knowledge considerably and consequently, this results in learners' failure (Mortiboy,2005). The results of this study are in line with the results of the research conducted by McGregor, he stated that some professions in which individuals either have high interaction or heavy team work need a significant level of Emotional intelligence (McGregor, 2011).

It should be noted that teaching ranks at the top among such professions (Cherry, 2012), as it needs direct human interactions; therefore, it has an emotional dimension (Armour,2012).

Components of emotional need in this study are creating an atmosphere for positive teaching & learning, making optimal relationship, the ability of feeling comprehension, attending the learners' expectations, teaching self- controlling skills, increasing self-confidence. Considerable research indicates that emotional intelligence play a central role in teaching training programs and it is a powerful tool offered in the training of teachers (Mayer et.al,2004).

As it was noted, among the main standards studied in this research, emotional skill had the highest mean and it is in line with the results of many studies which stated that Emotion related skills play an integral role in teaching training programs (Mayer et.al,2004; Sutton,2003).

The European union, focusing on high quality teaching as key prerequisite for high quality education and training (European commission,2010). The impact of any educational system can only be as powerful and effective as teachers who actually perform this profession (Davidson,2008), and it is not a simple task to design an optimal curriculum; however, the orientation should be on designing a curriculum that is relevant, standards- based, and meaningful for students.

In this study, as significant difference between demographic factors such as gender, major field of study, and the city of research was not found. Another interesting result of this study was the fact that The university students' were

satisfied with enternship. Perhaps one of the reason of this satisfaction can be due to greater focus of Farhangian current curriculum on this subject.

The strength points of this study is first, the tool was designed based on curriculum expertise and faculty perspectives that have experience, ability and expertise in matters of curriculum, and curriculum revision. Second, the tool is designed in such way that to be given "many standards for having an optimal curriculum. Also considering the current status and predictability of optimal stature, and the questionnaire filled by university students who are relevant population.

The limitations of this study can be stated as follows, we did not find a similar comprehensive study about emotional domain in Iran. Also, we had to design many standards so as to adjust them to the respondents' time and patience. Moreover, many of university students were not familiar to standards of the curriculum, and finally, the field of our study was limited to three filed of studies in three cities.

Researchers have suggested that education can only be setup if teacher training has a firm position within a given higher education institution. The orientation should be on designing a curriculum that is relevant, standards- based and meaningful for students by an integrated curriculum that gathers science fundamental scientific contents and abilities, practical skills, and attitudes and values.

CONCLUSION

The overall result of this study showed that the university students believed revision is needed in curriculum planning. In other words, the correspondence rate among, their needs and current curriculum is not optimal, and it is less than average level. Among the components, scientific, professional, and emotional, results showed that emotional skill is needed to be mentioned more. Since emotional intelligence can be taught and acquired, it can be expected to improve the two other skills, scientific and professional. Therefore, it is recommended that long- term training courses be designed and scheduled based on the concepts of emotional intelligence for Farhangian university students during their studies in university. Science education curriculum in the studied universities needs to be upgraded and improved in all aspects.

REFERENCE

1. Amrolah, O; Hakimzadeh, R.(2013). A comparative study of Teacher- Training Curriculum Quality in England, Japan, France, and Iran Journal of Educational innovations. 7,n26,P35-62.
2. Armour,w.(2012). Emotional Intelligence and Learning and Teaching in

- Higher Education: Implications for bioscience education. *Investigations in teaching and Learning* 8:p4-10.
3. Birman, B; Desimone, L; Porter. A; &Garet, M.(2000).Designing Professional Development that Works. *Educational Leadership*.57(8). PP.28-33.
 4. Burden, Pr.(2010). *Classroom Management: Creating a Successful K-12 Learning community*. Fourth Edition, united states of America: John wiley& sons, p.138-143.
 5. Carter, M; Stephenson, J; Hopper, T.(2015). Factors in Instructional Decision-Making, Ratings of Evidence and Intended Instructional practices of Australian Final year Teacher Education Students Australian.Journal of Teacher Education. V40,N6 Article
 6. Chesnut, S; Cullen R; Theresa, A.(2014). Effects of self- Efficacy, Emotional Intelligence, and Perception of Future work Environment on Preservice Teacher Commitment. *Teacher Educator*, V.49, no. P 116-132.
 7. David son, M.(2008). Educational Reform: Improving Human Capital Formation. Talk given at a conference on the Country Report by OECD Experts at the Hunagrian Ministry For Education and Culture, Budapest.
 8. David, R.(2003). Applying the Ability Model of Emotional Intelligence to the World of work.
 9. Durlak, J.A; Weissberg, R.P.P; &Pachan, M.(2010). A meta- analysis of after- school programs that seek to promote personal and emotional skills in children and adolescents. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 45(34), 294-308.
 10. European Commission. (2010). *Teachers' Professional Development: Europe in International Comparison, a Secondary analysis based on The TALIS dataset*. Ed.Jaap.
 11. Feldon, DF; Peugh, J. Timmerman, BE; Mahar, MA; &Stiegelemeyer, C.(2011). Graduate Students' Teaching Experiences Improve Their Methodological Research Skills. *Science* 33(6045): PP.1037-1039.
 12. Freed man, J.& Jensen, A.(2008).A case for emotional intelligence in our school. Six seconds: The Emotional intelligence Network. Retrieved on 29th October 2015 from: http://www.6seconds.org/pdf/case_for_EQ_school.
 13. Gibbs, C.J.(2002).” Effective Teaching: exercising self-efficacy and thought Control of action”. Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand, Annual Conference of the British Educational Research Association Exeter England.
 14. Gurey,P.(2007). “ Five factor for effective teaching”. *Journal of Teachers' work* Vol.4. Issue 2.PP.89-98.
 15. Hassan, N; Hayati md Jani, S; Matsom, R; ZainicAbd Hamid, N &AzmanizaAzizam, N.(2015). The Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Teaching Effectiveness among Lecturers at university Teknologi MARA, puncakAlam, Malaysia. *International Journal of social Science and Humanity*, 5(1), pp.1-5.
 16. Ingersoll, RM.(2003). *Out- of- field Teaching and the Limits of Teacher policy- seattle, center for the Study of Teaching and policy*. University of Washington.
 17. Joyce, B.&Schowers, B.(2002). *Student Achievement Through Staff Developtment*. Alexandria Virginia: Association for supervision and Curriculum Development.
 18. Keser, H; ozdamli, F; Bicen, H; &Demirok, M.(2010). “ A Descriptive study of High school Students Game- playing characteristics’. *International Journal of Learning and Teaching*.2(2), pp.12-20.
 19. Lew, L.y. (1013).National Science Education Standards and Pre- Service programs in the USA. *Journal of Curriculum Studies (J.C.S)*.V. o L. 7(27), 21-44.
 20. Luminita, M.D; Gabriel, G; Lauka, M.(2013). Pleading for Integrated Curriculum.Journal of Science and Arts. 13.N.1(22), PP.89-95.
 21. Mayer. J.D; Salovey, p.& Caruso, D.(2004), *Emotional Intelligence: Theory Findinings and Implications*. *Psychological Inquiry*. 15, PP. 197-215.
 22. Mc Cain, JC.(2005).A Qualitative Study of Pre- Service Teachers Using Co- Teaching as a Method to understand Scientific Process Skills to teach inquiry. West virginiq University, PhD. Thesis.
 23. MC.Laughlin, M; Peysen, S.(2011). *The New Encyclopedia of Icebreakers*. United states of America: Jhonwiley& sons.pp.2-4.
 24. Messo, S &Panhwar, A.(2013). A Comparative Study of Teacher Education in Japan, Germany and Pakistan.*Asia Journal of Business and Management Science*, 1(12), 121-130.
 25. Monk, D.(1994). Subject area preparation of Secondary Mathematics and Science teacher and student. *Economics of Education Review*. 13(2),PP.125-145.
 26. Mortiboys, A.(2005). *Teaching With Emotional Intelligence: A step by step Guide for Higher and Further Education Professionals*.Taylor&Francis. DP.1-5.
 27. Muijs, D. and Reynolds, D.(2005). “ Effective Teaching: Evidence and Practice”. 2nd edit. SAGE Publication Ltd. London, UK.
 28. Norman, GR; Vleuten, C; New ble, DI.(2002). *International Handbook of research in Education Canada*: Springer. Pp.462-499.
 29. Prabjandee, D.(2014). Journey to Becoming a Thai English Teacher: New Perspective on Investigating Teacher Attrition. *Journal of Education of EducationalResearch*.
 30. Schlicher, A.(2012). *Preparing Teachers and Developing School Leaders for the 21st century.Lessons from around the world*. OECD Publishing.
 31. Sutton, R.E,& Wheatley, K.(2003). *Teachers' Emotion and Teaching: A Review of the Literature and Directions for Future Research*. *Educational Psychology Review*. 15,PP.327-358.
 32. Tessier, J.(2010). An Inquiry- based biology Laboratory improves preservice elementary teachers' attitudes about Science. *J. Collge Sci. Teach*.(39)6, PP.84-90
 33. Wenglinsky, H.(2000).*How Teaching Matters: Bringing the Classroom back into discussion of teacher quality*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing service.
 34. Yamani, N; Shahabi, M; Haghani, F.(2014). The Relationship Between Emotional Intelligence and job stress in the Faculty of Medicine in Isfahan university of Medical Sciences. *JAdv med Edu Prof*, 2; 2-20.
 35. Zeidner, M.(2013). What we know about Emotional Intelligence. Development and Learning in Organizations. *An International Jurnal*, 27(9).pp.27-36

How to cite this article: Moghadam FM, Liaghatdar MJ, Hosseini TA. Viewpoints of Farhangian University Students on the Correspondence Rate of Science Education Curriculum with Their Needs . *Int J Sci Stud* 2017;5(8):338-343.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.