INTRODUCTION

Scrotal calcinosis is a rare benign disorder of a scrotal skin. This condition is characterized by single to multiple painless calcified intradermal nodules of varying size. It was first described by Lewinsky in 1883 as a subgroup of calcinosis cutis. It occurs usually in ages 20-40 years of age. The condition is mostly asymptomatic, but sometimes patient has complaints of heaviness in scrotum, discharge and inching. There is no systemic metabolic disorder. Histological examination reveals extensive deposition of calcium in the dermis. Which may be surrounded by inflammatory cells, histiocytes, and giant cells sometimes a cyst wall is also visible. The exact pathogenesis of scrotal calcinosis is not known. Different authors have given different opinion which includes dystrophic calcification of epidermal cyst, eccrine duct millia, or dartos muscle and calcification secondary to minor trauma of the scrotum.

The most studies showed normal serum levels of calcium, phosphorus, and blood sugar. Although this condition is benign, it needs to be differentiated from other benign conditions of the scrotum namely epidermal inclusion cyst, steatocystoma. Definitive diagnosis is based on histology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a prospective study of scrotal calcinosis carried out at the department of pathology, government medical college Srikot, Srinagar, Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand over a period of 3-year (February 2012-March 2015). All the patients of the scrotal nodules visited in surgical outpatient department were examined clinically, biochemically, and histologically. Only histologically confirmed cases were included in the study. Sample of histopathology was also collected from the surgeries done outside. A total of 20 patients were examined during this period patients of all ages were included in the study. Investigations of patients...
included complete blood count, serum and urinary calcium, phosphorus, fasting, and post-prandial blood sugar levels. Histopathology was done in all cases. Histopathological slides were stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain.

RESULT

The age of patients ranged from 18 to 70 years (Table 1) with maximum number of patients in the 3rd and 4th decade. The most common symptom was scrotal nodules (Table 2). No aspiration cytology was done. Biochemical findings were within normal limits. No metabolic abnormality was detected. All specimens of scrotal nodules received for histopathology grossly showed multiple nodules covered with skin (Figure 1a), cut surface showed whitish areas (Figure 1b). Histopathology of all patients scrotal nodule revealed calcified basophilic structure at places separated by fibrous connective tissue (Figure 2a and b) and surrounded by mononuclear inflammatory cells and foreign body giant cells (Figure 3). No cystic structure or capsule was in the slide. On the bases of these features scrotal calcinosis was labeled as idiopathic condition.

DISCUSSION

Idiopathic Scrotal calcinosis is a benign condition characterized with calcified deposits surrounded by granulomatous reaction of a foreign body type. Clinically, it appears as varying size nodules, solitary or multiple, yellow, hard in consistency. Idiopathic Scrotal calcinosis occurs in the absence of the tissue injury or systemic metabolic disorder. No causative factor has been identifiable. In general, these nodules grow slowly throughout the years and increase in number.

Scrotal calcinosis though commonly seen in the 3rd and 4th decade of life, but also reported in adult and pediatric age groups between 9 and 85 years. In our study, common age group was the 3rd and 4th decade which correlates with literature. Scrotal calcinosis is more common among dark colored race. The most common presentation is scrotal nodule, which do not cause major symptoms. In our study also scrotal nodule was most common complain. The patient usually visits doctor because of cosmetic concern. A few patients may have pruritis, ulceration, and chalky discharge. Clinically, it can be confuse with calcified oncocercoma.

Table 1: Age distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Age in years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-40</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-60</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-80</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Clinical features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptomless</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrotal nodule</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritis</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalky white</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulceration</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: (a) Gross-skin covered scrotal nodule, (b) cut surface showing whitish areas

Figure 2: Photomicrograph showing calcified nodules in dermis. (a) H and E, x10, (b) H and E, x40

Figure 3: Photomicrograph showing giant cell and inflammatory cell (H and E x100)
Solitary neurofibroma, ancient schwannomas, steatoma. Histological examination is necessary to differentiate scrotal calcinosis from these lesions.

Pathogenesis of scrotal calcinosis is controversial in this study all the biochemical investigations were within normal limits. These rules out the possibility of metastatic calcification. Hence, this calcification may result due to the presence of pathological lesion (dystrophic calcification) or occur in the absence of a known underlying pathology (idiopathic calcification). On histological examination of our 20 cases, we found calcified basophilic deposits with foreign body giant cell reaction all around (100%), minimal to florid monocyctic, histiocytic inflammatory infiltrate around deposits. Deposits of calcium were separated by fibrous tissue; keratin was not found admixed with these deposits. No cyst or cyst wall was found in any of our case. This indicates towards idiopathic etiology of scrotal calcinosis. Some literatures show presence of cyst wall of stratified squamous epithelium. Recurrence after surgery is not usual in scrotal calcinosis. However, some authors have reported recurrence in scrotal calcinosis. In our case, there was no recurrence until 9 months to 3 years of surgery. The good esthetic result was obtained.

CONCLUSION

Idiopathic scrotal calcinosis is a benign mass with a debatable pathogenesis. Surgical excision is the treatment of choice, which is required also from an aesthetic perspective. The peak incidence is in the 3rd and 4th decade which is same as for testicular tumors which has good prognosis if detected early. This makes necessity to investigate all the patients with scrotal nodules.

REFERENCES