

# Diaphyseal Femoral Intramedullary Nailing: Closed or Open Intervention?

Nitin Kimmatkar<sup>1</sup>,  
 Jaya T. Hemnani<sup>2</sup>,  
 T. J. Hemnani<sup>3</sup>,  
 S. K. Jain<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MS Orthopaedics, Associate Professor, Government Medical College, Nagpur, India, <sup>2</sup>MS Surgery, Surgeon, ESIS Hospital, Nagpur, India, <sup>3</sup>MD Pharmacology, DRM, Principal, Teerthankar Mahaveer Medical College & Research Centre, Moradabad, India, <sup>4</sup>Professor, Anatomy Teerthankar Mahaveer Medical College & Research Centre, Moradabad, India

**Corresponding Author:** Dr.T J Hemnani, Principal, Teerthankar Mahaveer Medical College & Research Centre, Moradabad, India. E-mail: drtjhernani@gmail.com

## Abstract

**Background:** Intramedullary nailing is the mainstay of treatment femoral shaft fractures. In this we tried to compare the results of open and closed nailing in the shaft of femur bone.

**Methods:** In three years time 272 femoral shaft fractured patients were admitted out of which 162 were closed type and 110patients in whom open nailing was tried. The mean age of patients was 35.6 yrs. Statistical analysis was done by using student "t" test.

**Results:** 246 patients healed within six months,while others required axial compression; complete union occurred in 95.6% of cases. Most common complication observed was limited range of mobility. Nonunion was observed more in open method, while closed method showed high rate of malunion.

**Conclusions:** We concluded our study with the fact that concept of alignment should be placed high & open nailing should be tried in those cases where adequate results cannot be achieved by closed methods.

**Keywords:** Open Nailing, Closed Nailing, Nonunion, Malunion

## INTRODUCTION

The femur is the strongest, largest and heaviest long bone in the body. Femoral shaft fractures are the most common injuries which the orthopaedic surgeons come across, which are the result of severe trauma in young age. Patients who have low mineral density got their shaft fractured even by low impact trauma.<sup>1-6</sup>

The treatment of femoral shaft fractures still remains a problem, and a subject of controversy among orthopaedics surgeons. Knowing the advantages and disadvantages of different methods or technique we can reduce the morbidity, disability and period of stay in the hospital.<sup>2,3</sup> Intramedullary fixation has gained wide acceptance, in the treatment of femoral shaft fractures.

Orthopaedics surgeon come across the complications of delayed union or nonunion following intramedullary nailing.<sup>7-15</sup> Orthopaedic Trauma Association (OTA1996)<sup>16</sup> have classified femoral shaft fractures into three main types (simple, wedge, and complex). The femoral shaft fracture

in multiply injured patient can be stabilized temporarily with an external fixation, and later with an intramedullary nailing.<sup>17,18</sup> Today the most common method for femoral nailing is to place a cannulated nail.<sup>19</sup>

The purpose of this study was to assess the results of IMN surgery in adults in the fracture of the femoral shaft by open and closed methods.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was conducted in the department of Orthopaedics, Govertment Medical College, Nagpur. During a period of three years we admitted 272 patients out of which (31.2%) had open fractures. AP view of the pelvis and AP and lateral views of the knee and the entire femur was taken to detect longitudinal cracks and non-displaced proximal and distal fragments.

The patients were aged 16-68 years (mean age: 35.8years). The patients were operated, within two days of admission, except for 12 cases which were not having stable general

condition and operated after 14 to 16 days as per improvement in general condition. Open reduction was done, in 110 cases and closed nailing was performed in 162 cases. Patients who suffered from associated multiple injuries were treated by external fixators followed by nailing. Continuous radiographic assessment using digital x-ray was done. Follow up of patients was done, which ranged from one and half year to two years. On the basis of reduction performed we compared the results of both techniques. Ethical clearance was obtained from the ethical committee of Govt. Medical College, Nagpur. Statistical analysis was performed using student "t" test.

## RESULTS

Out of the total number of patients, 246 healed up their fractures in a time period of six months. Axial compression (dynamization) was required in nine patients, and took approximately one year for complete healing. Mean hospital stay was 12.16 days (5-34 days). Complete union occurred in 262 patients. Range of knee flexion was full in over 90% of cases, 8% showed knee flexion from 0-120 degree and 2% showed 0-30 degrees of knee flexion.

Full weight bearing was earlier and were in more patients in whom closed method was applied as compared to open method ( $p < .005$ ) (Table 1).

**Table 1: Complications associated with closed and open method of intramedullary nailing**

| Complication         | Closed nailing method | Open nailing method | P value |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Nonunion             | 4 patients            | 8 patients          | <0.005  |
| Rotational deformity | 13 patients           | 1 patient           | <0.05   |
| Malunion             | 8 patients            | 6 patients          | >.005   |
| Duration of union    | Less                  | More                | >0.1    |

## DISCUSSION

About five decades back, initial treatment of fractures in poly-traumatized patients was conservative, because operative treatment considered being high risk procedure. In the last twenty years there have been some major changes in the surgical management of lower limb long-bone fractures. There are a number of reasons for the alteration in the management of femoral diaphyseal fractures.

Controversy still exists with regard to timing for internal fracture stabilization.<sup>20-24</sup> Early IMN earlier than 24 hours post injury in some studies been associated with reduced pulmonary complications and mortality.<sup>25-29</sup>

The literature has now been clear that reamed nailing is the preferred and successful technique for closed and less severe open lower limb fractures. To nail the femur in fractures below the lesser trochanter is the treatment of choice (Figures 1 & 2).<sup>30-38</sup>



Figure 1: Femoral Intramedullary nailing



Figure 2: Schematic view of femoral shaft intra-medullary nailing

First generation interlocking nails using oblique or transverse screws are superior to unlocked nails. In lower two third of femur, two cross-locking screws are essential for adequate stability.

In mid-shaft fractures one screw proximally and one distally is adequate. Proximal and distal third fractures of femur are prone for malangulations. IM nailing allows early mobilization with minimal scarring of thigh musculature and early functional recovery; early weight bearing with minimal scarring can be expected with intramedullary nailing. Rapid mobilization leads to economic benefits to the patient. Enormous complications have been cited in the literature for intramedullary nailing.<sup>39</sup>

In a systematic overview by (Bhandari, 2000) 7% non union rate was reported.<sup>18</sup>

But in our study the rate of nonunion was about 4.2%, this is due to better treatment strategy and careful selection of operative procedure and surgeon's experience.

Various authors performed and compared the outcome of their techniques in interlocking femoral nailing (Table 2).

**Table 2: Techniques in interlocking femoral nailing**

| Authors with year of study                    | Number of subjects | Infection % | Malunion % | Nonunion % | Nerve palsy % |
|---|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Kempf et al.<br>1985 <sup>41</sup>            | 52                 | 2.1         | 21.1       | 7.6        | -             |
| Wiss et al.<br>1991 <sup>42</sup>             | 112                | 0           | 14         | 1.8        | -             |
| Klemm&Borner.<br>1986 <sup>43</sup>           | 266                | 2.6         | 3.0        | 1.5        | 0.3           |
| Christie et al.<br>1988 <sup>44</sup>         | 120                | 0           | 2.5        | 1.6        | 2.5           |
| O'Brien et al.<br>1991 <sup>45</sup>          | 63                 | 4.8         | 12.7       | 4.8        | -             |
| Grosse et al.<br>1993 <sup>46</sup>           | 115                | 2.6         | 1.7        | 3.5        | 1.7           |
| Nowotarski&<br>Brumback<br>1994 <sup>30</sup> | 39                 | 2.5         | 0          | 5.1        | 5.1           |

From the above table it is clear that malunion was maximum in the study performed by Kempf et al<sup>40</sup> and while in our study it was found to be 4.6%. Nonunion in our study was 4.2%, while in the studies performed by Kempf et al<sup>40</sup> 7.6%, Wiss et al<sup>41</sup> 1.8% Klemm & Borner<sup>42</sup> 1.5% Christie et al.<sup>43</sup> 1.6% O'Brien et al.<sup>44</sup> 4.8% Grosse et al<sup>45</sup> 3.5% Nowotarski & Brumback<sup>30</sup> 5.1%

## CONCLUSION

Open or closed femoral intramedullary nailing should be based on type of fracture and its pattern of injury, equipments and instruments available and most certainly the experience of surgeon. Closed intramedullary nailing is for treatment of diaphyseal femur fractures in patients with poly-traumatic injuries. Open nailing should be tried in case where an adequate reduction cannot be achieved by closed methods.

## REFERENCES

- KL Moore. Clinically oriented anatomy. 2nd ed. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore; 1985.
- Rockwood, C. A., & Green, D. P. *Rockwood and Green's fractures in adults* (Vol. 1). R. W. Bucholz, J. D. Heckman, & P. Tornetta (Eds.). Wolters Kluwer Health; 2010.
- Schatzker J: Fractures of the femur. In: Schatzker J, Tile M: The rationale of operative fracture care. 2nd ed. Pp 367-386, Springer -Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York; 1996.
- Platzer W: Color Atlas of Human Anatomy Volume I. Locomotor System. 5th ed. Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart New York; 2003.
- Whittle AP, Wood II GW: Fractures of lower extremity In: Canale TS (ed.): Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics. 10th ed. Volume. 3 pp.2825-2872, Mosby, St Louis London Philadelphia Sydney Toronto; 2003.
- Gozna ER: Biomechanics of long bone injuries. In: Gozna ER, Harrington IJ (eds.): Biomechanicsof musculoskeletal injury. Pp. 1-29. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore;1982.
- Winquist RA, Hansen Jr ST, Clawson DK. Closed intramedullary nailing of femoral fractures. A report of five hundred and twenty cases. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery*. 1984;66:529-539.
- Thoresen, B. O et al. Interlocking intramedullary nailing in femoral shaft fractures. A report of forty-eight cases. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume* 1985;67(9):1313-20.
- Brumback RJ, Uwagie-Ero S, Lakatos RP, Poka A, Bathon GH, Burgess AR. Intramedullary nailing of femoral shaft fractures Part II: Fracture-healing with static interlocking fixation. *J Bone Joint Surg*. 1988;70:1453-1462.
- Christie J, Court-Brown C, Kinninmonth AW, Howie CR. Intramedullary locking nails in the management of femoral shaft fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1988;70:206-210.
- Søbjerg JO, Eiskjaer S, Møller-Larsen F. Locked nailing of comminuted and unstable fractures of the femur. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1990;72:23-25.
- Brumback RJ. The rationales of interlocking nailing of the femur, tibia and humerus. *ClinOrthopRelat Res* 1996;324:292-230.
- Bhandari M, Guyatt GH, Tong D, Adili A, Shaughnessy SG. Reamed Versus Non Reamed Intramedullary Nailing Of Lower Extremity Long Bone Fractures: A Systematic Overview And Meta-Analysis. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2000;14(1):2-9.
- Herscovici Jr D, Ricci WM, McAndrews P, DiPasquale T, Sanders R. Treatment of femoral shaft fracture using unreamed interlocked nails. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2000;14:10-14.
- Tornetta III P, Tiburzi D. Reamed versus nonreamed anterograde femoral nailing. *Journal of orthopaedic trauma*.2000;14(1):15-19.
- Orthopaedic Trauma Association. Committee for Coding and Classification: Fracture and dislocation compendium. *J Orthop Trauma* 10 (Suppl 1)1996;36-41.
- Pape HC, Bund M, Meier R, Piepenbrock S, von Glinski S, Tscherne H. Pulmonary dysfunction following primary bilateral femoral nailing—a case report. *Intensive care medicine*. 1999;25(5):547-547.
- Pape HC, Grimme K, van Griensven M, Sott AH, Giannoudis P, Morley J, Roise O, Ellingsen E, Hildebrand F, Wiese B, Krettek C. Impact of intramedullary instrumentation versus damagecontrol for femoral fractures on immune inflammatory parameters: prospective randomized analysis by the EPOF study group. *J Trauma*. 2003;55:7-13.
- Whittle AP, George W. Wood II: Fractures of lower extremity. In: Canale T, editor. Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics. Philadelphia: Mosby; 2003. 2725-2872.
- Enninghorst N, Toth L, King KL, McDougall D, Mackenzie S, Balogh ZJ. Acute definitive internal fixation of pelvic ring fractures in polytrauma patients: a feasible option. *J Trauma*. 2010;68(4):935-941.
- Pape HC, Tornetta P, 3rd, Tarkin I, Tzioupis C, Sabesov V, Olson SA. Timing of fracturefixation in multitrauma patients: the role of early total care and damage control surgery. *JAmAcadOrthop Surg*. 2009;17(9):541-549.
- O'Toole RV, O'Brien M, Scalea TM, Habashi N, Pollak AN, Turen CH. Resuscitation Before Stabilization of Femoral Fractures Limits Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in Patients With Multiple Traumatic Injuries Despite Low Use of Damage Control Orthopedics. *Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection and Critical Care*. 2009;67(5):1013-1021.
- Pape HC. Effects of changing strategies of fracture fixation on immunologic changes and systemic complications after multiple trauma: Damage control orthopedic surgery. *J OrthopRes*. 2008;26(11):1478-1484.
- Pape HC, Rixen D, Morley J, et al. Impact of the method of initial stabilization for femoralshaft fractures in patients with multiple injuries at risk for complications (borderlinepatients). *Ann Surg*. 2007;246(3):491-499; discussion 499-501.
- Riska EB, von Bonsdorff H, Hakkinen S, Jaroma H, Kiviluoto O, Paavilainen T. Prevention of fat embolism by early internal fixation of

- fractures in patients with multiple injuries. *Injury*. 1976;8(2):110-116.
26. Johnson KD, Cadambi A, Seibert GB. Incidence of adult respiratory distress syndrome inpatients with multiple musculoskeletal injuries: effect of early operative stabilization of fractures. *J Trauma*. 1985;25(5):375-384.
  27. Bosse MJ, MacKenzie EJ, Riemer BL, et al. Adult respiratory distress syndrome, pneumonia, and mortality following thoracic injury and a femoral fracture treated either with intramedullary nailing with reaming or with a plate. A comparative study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1997;79(6):799-809.
  28. Goris RJ, Gimbrere JS, van Niekerk JL, Schoots FJ, Booy LH. Early osteosynthesis and prophylactic mechanical ventilation in the multitrauma patient. *J Trauma*. 1982;22(11):895-903.
  29. Canadian TCOTS. Reamed versus unreamed intramedullary nailing of the femur: comparison of the rate of ARDS in multiple injured patients. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2006;20(6):384-387.
  30. Nowotarski P, Brumback RJ. Immediate interlocking nailing of fractures of the femur caused by low- to mid velocity gunshots. *J Orthop Trauma*. 1994;8(2):134-141.
  31. Buchholz RW, Ross SE, Lawrence KL. Fatigue fracture of the interlocking nail in the treatment of fractures of the distal part of the femoral shaft. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1987; 69(9):1391-1399.
  32. George CJ, Lindsey RW, Noble PC, Alexander JW, Kamarie E. Optimal location of a single distal interlocking screw in intramedullary nailing of distal third femoral shaft fractures. *J Orthop Trauma* 1998; 12(4):267-272.
  33. Herscovici D, Jr., Whiteman KW. Retrograde nailing of the femur using an intercondylar approach. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1996;(332):98-104.
  34. Kyle RF, Schaffhausen JM, Bechtold JE. Biomechanical characteristics of interlocking femoral nails in the treatment of complex femoral fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1991;(267):169-173.
  35. Winquist RA. Locked Femoral Nailing. *J Am AcadOrthopSurg*. 1993;1(2):95-105.
  36. Ricci WM, Bellabarba C, Lewis R, Evanoff B, Herscovici D, Dipasquale T et al. Angular malalignment after intramedullary nailing of femoral shaft fractures. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2001; 15(2):90-95.
  37. Wolinsky PR, McCarty E, Shyr Y, Johnson K. Reamed intramedullary nailing of the femur: 551 cases. *J Trauma*. 1999; 46(3):392-399.
  38. Nork SE. Fractures of the shaft of the femur. In: Buchholz RW, Heckman GD, Court-Brown CM, editors. Rockwood and Green's Fractures in Adults. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001. p. 2090.
  39. Blacha J, Gaweda K. Difficulties and complications while treating long bone fractures with locked intramedullary nailing. *ChirNarzadowRuchuOrtop*. 1997; 62(6):551-557.
  40. Kempf I, Grosse A, Beck J. Closed locked intramedullary nailing: its application to comminuted fractures of the femur. *J Bone Joint Surg*1985;67A: 709-20.
  41. Wiss DA, Brien WW, Becker V. Interlocking nailing for the treatment of femoral fractures due to gunshot wounds. *J Bone Joint Surg*1991; 73A:598-606.
  42. Klemm K, Borner M. Interlocking nailing of complex fractures of the femur and tibia. *C/in Orthop*. 1986;212: 89-100.
  43. Christie I, Court-Brown C, Kinninmonth AWG, Howie CR. Intramedullary locking nails in the management of femoral shaft fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg*. 1988;70B: 206-10.
  44. O'Brien PJ, Meek RN, Powell IN, Blackat PA. Primary intramedullary nailing of open femoral shaft fractures. *J Trauma*.1991; 31:113-6.
  45. Grosse A, Cluistie J, Taglang G, Court-Brown C, McQueen M. Open adult femoral shaft fracture treated by early intramedullary nailing. *J Bone Joint Surg*.1993;75B: 562-5.

**How to cite this article:** Nitin Kimmattkar , Jaya T. Hemnani, T. J. Hemnani, S. K. Jain. "Diaphyseal Femoral Intramedullary Nailing: Closed or Open Intervention?". International Journal of Scientific Study. 2014;1(5):15-18.

**Source of Support:** Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.