

Prevalence of Different Types of Malocclusion in the Patients Visiting Government Dental College, Jammu in India

Nanika Mahajan¹, Bhanu Kotwal², Sharad Kharyal³, Vinod Tomar⁴, Abhiroop Singh Jamwal⁵, Haresh Kalvani⁶

¹Lecturer, Department of Pedodontics, Indira Gandhi Government Dental College, Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India, ²Lecturer, Department of Periodontics, Indira Gandhi Government Dental College, Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India, ³MDS, Department of Orthodontics, Private Practitioner, Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India, ⁴Medical Officer, Civil Hospital, Dadahu, Himachal Pradesh, India, ⁵PG Student, Department of Oral Surgery, DAV, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana, India, ⁶Professor and Head, Department of Periodontics, Institute of Dental Sciences, Sehora, Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Abstract

Aim: To determine the prevalence of different types of malocclusion based on Angle's classification in Jammu.

Materials and Methods: The sample size included 696 subjects (369 males and 327 females) in the age group of 13-14 years. The malocclusion determination was based on the Angle's classification of malocclusion.

Results: The results showed that 83% of the subjects had malocclusion. Class I malocclusion constituted the major proportion of malocclusion, which was found in 67% of the studied population. Class II Division I constituted 8% of the sample size. Class II Division II constituted 6% of the sample size. Class III constituted 2% of the total sample size.

Conclusion: Majority of the subjects had Angle's Class I malocclusion with crowded incisors. There is a need to simplify and standardize criteria for assessing malocclusion and to plan the need of orthodontic treatment among the population.

Key words: Angle's classification, Incidence, Malocclusion

INTRODUCTION

The dental malocclusion exhibits the third highest prevalence among oral pathologies, after tooth decay and periodontal disease and comes under worldwide dental priorities.¹ A well aligned dental arch is essential for the health of oral cavity and stomatognathic system and enhances the self-esteem of the individual. To have a good treatment plan for treating malocclusion, there is a necessity to find the prevalence of the condition in the particular population. To find the prevalence of malocclusion, adequate basic information is available in the developed

countries, but developing countries are still lacking this information.²⁻⁷

Occlusal traits vary among different ethnic groups, and so is the prevalence and severity of malocclusion. A large number of studies on the prevalence of malocclusion have been done in the past. The reported studies showed that prevalence of malocclusion among Indian subjects is as low as 19% to as high as 90%.⁸

Therefore, the aim of the study is to determine the prevalence of different types of malocclusion based on Angle's classification in Jammu.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sample size included 696 subjects (369 males and 327 females) in the age group of 13-14 years visiting the Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, Indira Gandhi Government Dental College, Jammu.

Access this article online



www.ijss-sn.com

Month of Submission : 07-2017
Month of Peer Review : 08-2017
Month of Acceptance : 09-2017
Month of Publishing : 09-2017

Corresponding Author: Dr Nanika Mahajan, Department of Pedodontics, Indira Gandhi Government Dental College, Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India. E-mail: drnanikamahajan@gmail.com

The inclusion criteria included that none of the subjects had previously undergone orthodontic treatment; all the subjects had first permanent molar. A single dentist trained for the specific study conducted the clinical examination. The malocclusion determination was based on the Angle's classification of malocclusion.

The malocclusion was evaluated with the help of intra-oral photographs, orthodontic models and clinically in centric occlusion position, which was attained by asking the subject to swallow and then to bite the teeth together. The subjects with properly aligned arches, minimal overbite and overjet and with Class I molar relationship was classified as normal.

The subjects with Class I malocclusion had the following characteristics - Class I molar relationship, crowded incisors or labial canines, or both (Dewey type I), protruded maxillary incisors (Dewey type II), anterior end to end occlusion or anterior cross bite or both (Dewey type III), unilateral or bilateral posterior cross bite (Dewey type IV), mesial drift of molars (Dewey type V), anterior or posterior open bite, and deep anterior overbite.

The subjects with Class II malocclusion were subdivided into Division I and Division II based on the molar relationship and inclination of incisors in the arches.

The subjects with Class III malocclusion had Class III molar relationship, crowded incisors, spacing between the incisors, reverse overjet.

Examinations were computerized and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 16. Chi-square test was used for computing statistical significance.

RESULTS

The results showed that 82.9% of the subjects had malocclusion. Class I malocclusion constituted the major proportion of malocclusion, which was found in 66.9% of the studied population. Class II Division I constituted 8.3% of the sample size. Class II Division II constituted 5.9% of the sample size. Class III constituted 1.8% of the total sample size. There were 369 male participants, which constituted 53.01% of the total sample size. There were 327 female participants, which constituted 46.98% of the total sample size. There was no statistically significant gender difference found ($P > 0.05$) Tables 1 and 2.

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of normal occlusion was 17.1% in this study, and other classes of occlusion constituted 82.9%

Table 1: Prevalence of various malocclusion

| Occlusal classification | N (%) |
|-------------------------|------------|
| Normal occlusion | 119 (17.1) |
| Class I | 466 (66.9) |
| Class II Division I | 58 (8.3) |
| Class II Division II | 41 (5.9) |
| Class III | 12 (1.8) |
| Total | 696 (100) |

$P=1$, $df=4$

Table 2: Gender distribution

| Occlusal classification | Male | Female |
|-------------------------|------|--------|
| Normal occlusion | 58 | 61 |
| Class I | 243 | 223 |
| Class II Division I | 38 | 20 |
| Class II Division II | 20 | 21 |
| Class III | 10 | 02 |
| Total | 369 | 327 |

$P=0.05$, $df=4$

of which Angle's Class I malocclusion was most frequent. The high incidence of malocclusion with Angle's Class I malocclusion as the most predominant among all the types in this study was consistent with the previous studies as reported by Sidhu,⁹ Prasad, and Savadi.¹⁰ However, results of few of the studies as done by Rao *et al.*¹¹ which reported a low incidence of malocclusion are in disagreement with the results of our study.

Our study shows the prevalence of Class III malocclusion to be 1.8%, which is in accordance with the results reported by the study conducted by Rao *et al.*¹¹ However, study conducted by Kharbanda¹² showed higher prevalence of Class III malocclusion in Delhi, and by Tewari¹³ in Punjab. Lower prevalence of Class III malocclusion was reported by Jacob and Mathew^{14,15} in Trivandrum.

No statistically significant gender differences were found in various as well as in total prevalence of the malocclusion which was in accordance with the results of the study conducted by Das and Reddy¹⁶ in children of Bengaluru.

CONCLUSION

Majority of the subjects had Angle's Class I malocclusion with crowded incisors. There is a need to simplify and standardize criteria for assessing malocclusion and to plan the need of orthodontic treatment among the population.

REFERENCES

1. Brito DI, Dias PF, Gleiser R. Prevalence of malocclusion in children aged 9 to 12 years old in the city of Nova Friburgo, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *R Dent Pres Orthod Ortop Facial* 2009;14:118-24.

2. Massler M, Frankel J. Prevalence of malocclusion in children aged 14 to 18 years. *Am J Orthod* 1951;37:751-68.
3. Roberts EE, Goose DH. Malocclusion in a North Wales population. *Br Dent J* 1979;146:17-20.
4. Altemus L. The frequency of the incidence of malocclusion in American Negro children aged 12-16. *Angle Orthod* 1959;29:189-200.
5. Goose DH, Thompson DG, Winter FC. Malocclusion in school children of West Midlands. *Br Dent J* 1957;102:174-8.
6. Gardiner JH. A survey of malocclusion and some aetiological factors in 1000 Sheffield school children. *Dent Pract* 1957;6:187-98.
7. Bjork A. The face in profile: An anthropological X-ray investigation on Swedish children and conscripts. *Sverisk Tand Tidsskr* 1947;40 Suppl 5B:180.
8. Kharbanda OP, Sidhu SS, Sundaram K, Shukla DK. Oral habits in school going children of Delhi: A prevalence study. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent* 2003;21:120-4.
9. Sidhu SS. Incidence of varieties of malocclusion. *J Indian Orthod Soc* 1968;1:17-20.
10. Rajendra PA, Savadi SC. Epidemiology of malocclusion-a report of a survey conducted in Bangalore city. *J Indian Orthod Soc* 1971;3:43-55.
11. Rao N, Bhat KS, Venkateshwarlu M, Subrahmanyam MV. Oral health status of 500 school children of Udupi. *J Indian Dent Assoc* 1980;52:367-70.
12. Kharbanda OP. What is the prevalence of malocclusion in India? Do we know the orthodontic treatment needs of our country? *J Indian Orthod Soc* 1999;32:33-41.
13. Tewari A. Relationship of the incidence of malocclusion with the socioeconomic status of people. *J Indian Dent Assoc* 1966;37:192-200.
14. Jacob PP, Mathew CT. The overbite and overjet relation in different types of occlusion in Kerala. *J Indian Orthod Soc* 1969;2:17-23.
15. Jacob PP, Mathew CT. Occlusal pattern study of school children (12-15 years) of Trivandram city. *J Indian Dent Assoc* 1969;41:271-4.
16. Das UM, Reddy DV. Prevalence of malocclusion among school children in Bangalore, India. *Int J Clin Pediatr Dent* 2008;1:10-2.

How to cite this article: Mahajan N, Kotwal B, Kharyal S, Tomar V, Jamwal AS, Kalvani H. Prevalence of Different Types of Malocclusion in the Patients Visiting Government Dental College, Jammu in India. *Int J Sci Stud* 2017;5(6):54-56.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.